TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

·						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
05814WO						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/ye					
PCT/JP2005/006429 25.03.2005 25.03.2004						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nat	ional classification and IPC					
G01N33/50, C12Q1/26						
Applicant						
FUENCE CO., LTD.						
This report is the international preli	minary examination report, established	by this International Preliminary Examining Authority				
under Article 35 and transmitted to the	-					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
 This report is also accompanied by A 	NNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant and	a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
		e been amended and are the basis for this report and/or				
sheets containing re Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this Authority	(see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
		ority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond				
the disclosure in the Box.	e international application as filed, as i	ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental				
b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and	d number of electronic carrier(s))				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
related thereto, in computer	r readable form only, as indicated in the	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
Section 802 of the Adminis						
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the	report					
Box No. II Priority						
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
DOX 110. 1	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited					
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain obs	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of complete	ion of this report				
2 C.	Sale of complete					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized office	ear				
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/006429

Вох	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		gard to the language, this report is based on the internation d under this item.	al application in the language in which	it was filed, unless otherwise
		his report is based on translations from the original languag hich is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo		•
	느	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
	<u> </u>	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
	L	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	r 55.3)	
2.	receiving this repo			
		e international application as originally filed/furnished		
		e description:		
	pa	ges		as originally filed/furnished
	pa	ges*	received by this Authority on	
	- pa	ages*	received by this Authority on	
	the	e claims:		
	no	os.		as originally filed/furnished
	no	os.*	as amended (together with	any statement) under Article 19
	no	os.*	received by this Authority on	
	no	os.*	received by this Authority on	
	L the	e drawings:		
		ects ·		as originally filed/furnished
		ects*		
			received by this Authority on	
				·
	L as	sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplement	ntal Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	LI Th	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		•
	Ļ	the description, pages		•
	느	the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		the sequence listing (specify):		····
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		nis report has been established as if (some of) the amenda ey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		·
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
	Γ	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	•	
*	If item 4	applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super	1 2 (100) (10)	

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/JP2005/006429

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8	Y
	Claims		N
	Claims		Y
	Claims	1-8	и
Industrial applicability (IA) Cla	Claims	1-8	Y
	Claims		

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

- Document 1: JP 2002-520360 A (The Picower Institute for Medical Research), 09 July 2002, refer to paragraphs [0002] to [0003], [0013] to [0014] and [0032] to [0040], and the examples, etc. & US 6391899 A
- Document 2: JP 2002-281999 A (Kazuhiro IGARASHI), 02 October 2002, test 2
- Document 3: JP 2002-181820 A (Ikagaku Co., Ltd.), 26 June 2002, claims and paragraph [0002]

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5

Document 1 indicates that polyamine oxidases and polyamines such as spermine or spermidine are associated with cerebrovascular accidents and cerebral ischemia.

Thus, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of using measured polyamine levels and/or measured polyamine oxidase levels in order to screen patients and diagnose cerebrovascular accidents or the like.

Consequently, claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 do not involve an inventive step.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims 3 and 6

Document 2 indicates that polyamine oxidases produce 3-aminopropanal from polyamines, whereafter the 3-aminopropanal immediately forms acrolein.

Meanwhile, document 1 indicates that the polyamine oxidases generate 3-aminopropanal from the polyamines, and thus it is thought that acrolein will also be present when polyamine oxidases and polyamines are present.

As a result, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of using acrolein as an indicator for detecting cerebrovascular accidents and/or cerebral ischemia.

Consequently, claims 3 and 6 do not involve an inventive step.

Furthermore, document 3 indicates that it is possible to detect arteriosclerosis by detecting for anti-acrolein antibodies, and also indicates that arteriosclerosis is a primary cause of cerebral infarctions and the like.

As a result, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of detecting cerebral infarctions by detecting for acrolein.

Consequently, claims 3 and 6 do not involve an inventive step.

Claims 7 and 8

In addition, document 1 indicates that the polyamine oxidase activity is elevated for a number of hours subsequent to the onset of ischemia, and also indicates that it is possible to confirm whether the activity of the polyamine oxidase is elevated before it is possible to confirm the presence of a characteristic

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006429

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
patt	ern within a diagnostic image of the head.
	Consequently, claims 7 and 8 do not involve an
inve	ntive step.
,	
:	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006429

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1. The inventions set forth in the present application use the polyamine level or the aldehyde level as an indicator for detecting cerebrovascular accidents or the like. However, the description only describes the relationship between cerebrovascular accidents and FDP-Lys, and thus there is not considered to be sufficient support for the inventions set forth in the present application (furthermore, there is insufficient support for the claim that is possible to determine the acrolein level by measuring the FDP-Lys level).
- 2. Claims 7 and 8 of the present application indicate that statistically significant changes in the activity and/or the level of the polyamine oxidases will occur before it becomes possible to detect anything in a diagnostic image of the head. However, the description only presents one example in which this assertion holds true, and thus there is not considered to be sufficient support for the inventions set forth in claims 7 and 8.